

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 8536 07/07/2003 Kazushi Tomita F05-155619M/ARK 10/613,074 EXAMINER 7590 09/07/2004 MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J McGinn & Gibb, PLLC Suite 200 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 8321 Old Courthouse Road Vienna, VA 22182-3817 3747

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\Box
Office Action Summary	10/613,074	TOMITA ET AL.	()
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marguerite J. McM	ahon 3747	
The MAILING DATE of this commo	unication appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence addr	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this color if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minim statutory period will apply and will expire SI bly will, by statute, cause the application to be s after the mailing date of this communication	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the prac	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	• •	ion.	
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-7</u> is/are rejecte	d.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election requirem	ent.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi		•	t 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form PTO)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for foreian priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		(7)	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been receiv	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copie	s of the priority documents hav	e been received in this National St	tage
application from the Internat	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the certified cop	ies not received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗆 In	terview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) Pa	aper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/03.	·	otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 her:	52)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	20040903

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. Note the liberal use of the term "and for" which is frequently utilized inappropriately and vaguely, since the subject of the phrase is frequently vague.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 7are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Funai et al (6,029,638). Note in Figure 11 an oil tank 59, a first breather chamber 18a, a second breather chamber 61h, a first pump 65, and a second pump 64.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3747

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funai et al (6,029,638). Funai et al show everything except they are silent as to the pumping capacity of the first and second pumps. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide a second oil pump with a larger pumping power than the first pump, in order to produce a vacuum pressure in the crankcase, as it is conventionally necessary to provide a vacuum pressure in the crankcase in order to for the engine to function properly and would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,074 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARGUERITE MCMAHON
PRIMARY EXAMINER